

Honorable Robert E. Gerber  
United States Bankruptcy Judge  
Room 523  
One Bowling Green  
New York, New York 10004



Re: Chapter 11

Case No. 09-50026 (REG)

Rule 9074-1 for Supplemental Order Granting Debtors' 215 Omnibus Objection  
as to Proof of Claim No. 70909 (Claims of Equity Interest)

We petition the court to reject the request of GMC (MLC) proposed supplemental order granting debtors' 215 Omnibus Objection claims to proof of Claim No. 70909 filed by Austin and Rebecca Viall to the following reasons:

1. The court awarded us \$ 437,738.00 because we furnished proof of purchase for 12,200 shares of stock which were purchased through E-Trade.
2. GMC/MLC (the Debtors') appealed the court's decision because the debtors' considered the purchase price too small. The court upheld the original ruling because the price of stock is based on the date of sale rather than the purchase date.
3. The debtors' third attempt to confiscated our stock is based "on their claim of "NO LIABILITY"". This is an insult to the court for the following reason:

The court has already confirmed our equity claim by awarding us the market value claimed for the 12,200 stocks. The debtors' established liability and assumed responsibility the day they accepted payment for these stocks. This holds true for all stocks.

"NO" liability claim by the debtors': this statement alone, calling themselves "the Debtors" proves their liability and responsibility to pay when requested by the owner.

Respectfully,

  
Austin Viall

  
Rebecca Viall

710 Keller Lane  
Tuscumbia, AL 35674

Honorable Robert E. Gerber

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Room 217

and Banking Center

New York, New York 10004

DEC - 8 2012

Re: Chapter 11

Rule 9074-1 for Supplemental Order Granting Debtors' 215 Omnibus Objection

1. In proof of claim No. 70002 (claims of Equity Interest)

We noticed the court to reject the request of GMIC (L/C) proposed supplemental order granting  
Debtors' 215 Omnibus Objection claims to proof of claim No. 70002 (claims of Equity Interest) and  
the following reasons:

1. The court awarded us \$437,738.00 because we furnished proof of purchase for 12,500 shares  
of stock which were purchased through E-Trade.
  2. GMIC (L/C) (the Debtors) equaled the court's decision because the Debtors considered the  
purchase price too small. The court upheld the original ruling because the price of stock is  
based on the date of sale rather than the purchase date.
  3. The Debtors' third attempt to contest our stock is based "on their claim of 'NO LIABILITY'."
- This is a result to the court for the following reason:

7. The court found that the Debtors' claim by asserting in the court of law that the

"NO" liability claim by the Debtors; this statement alone, calling themselves "the Debtors," proves their  
liability and responsibility to pay when requested by the owner.

Respectfully,

Robert Vail

210 10th Lane

New York, New York 10004